

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION

Case Number: 4:23-cv-02880

GS HOLISTIC, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

S&S WIRELESS ZONE INC. d/b/a VAPE
CITY FAIRMONT and ALI SHEIKHANI,

Defendants.

_____ /

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND DAMAGES

The Plaintiff, GS HOLISTIC, LLC (hereinafter referred to as “GS”), by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby files this, its Complaint against the Defendants, S&S WIRELESS ZONE INC. d/b/a VAPE CITY FAIRMONT and ALI SHEIKHANI, and alleges, as follows:

Jurisdictional Allegations

1. This is a civil action against the Defendants for trademark infringement, counterfeiting, and false designation of origin and unfair competition, under the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. § 1051 *et. seq.*).

2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the claims in this action that relate to trademark infringement, counterfeiting, and false designation of origin and unfair competition pursuant to the provisions of 15 U.S.C. § 1121 and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a)

Venue

3. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) in that the

Defendants reside in this district and the cause of action occurred in this district.

Parties

4. GS HOLISTIC, LLC is a Delaware Limited Liability Corporation that has its principal place of business at 7162 Beverly Boulevard, #207, Los Angeles, California 90036. GS is the registered owner of the Stündenglass trademarks.

5. S&S WIRELESS ZONE INC. d/b/a VAPE CITY FAIRMONT (hereinafter referred to as “VAPE CITY”) is a corporation that was formed in Texas and has its principal place of business at 6065 Fairmont Pkwy Ste 100 Pasadena, Texas, 77505. VAPE CITY is a citizen of Texas.

6. ALI SHEIKHANI is a resident of Houston, Texas, and is *sui juris*. ALI SHEIKHANI is a citizen of Texas.

Facts Common to All Counts

The History of The Stündenglass Brand.

7. Since 2020, GS has marketed and sold products using the well-known trademark “Stündenglass.” The Stündenglass branded products, such as glass infusers and accessories related thereto, are widely recognized nationally and internationally. Indeed, the Stündenglass brand is one of the leading companies in the industry, known for high quality and innovation of products.

8. For approximately two years, GS has worked to distinguish the Stündenglass brand as the premier manufacturer of glass infusers by emphasizing the brand’s unwavering use of quality materials and focusing on scientific principles which facilitate a superior smoking experience. Stündenglass branded products embody a painstaking attention to detail, which is evident in many facets of authentic Stündenglass branded products. It is precisely because of the unyielding quest for quality and unsurpassed innovation that Stündenglass branded products have

a significant following and appreciation amongst consumers in the United States and internationally.

9. As a result of the continuous and extensive use of the trademark “STÜNDENGLASS,” GS was granted both valid and subsisting federal statutory and common law rights to the Stündenglass trademark.

10. GS is the rightful owner of United States trademarks, which are registered on the Principal Register and have become incontestable within the meaning of Section 15 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1065. The following is a list of GS’s federally registered trademarks:

a. U.S. Trademark Registration Number 6,633,884 for the standard character mark “Stündenglass” in association with goods further identified in registration in international class 011.

b. U.S. Trademark Registration Number 6,174,292 for the design plus words mark “S” and its logo in association with goods further identified in the registration in international class 034.

c. U.S. Trademark Registration Number 6,174,291 for the standard character mark “Stündenglass” in association with goods further identified in registration in international class 034.

11. The above U.S. registrations are valid, subsisting and in full force and effect.

The Stündenglass Brand in the United States.

12. GS has used the Stündenglass Marks in commerce throughout the United States, continuously, since 2020, in connection with the manufacturing of glass infusers and accessories.

13. The Stündenglass Marks are distinctive to both the consuming public and the Plaintiff’s trade. GS’s Stündenglass branded products are made from superior materials. The superiority of Stündenglass branded products is not only readily apparent to consumers, but to industry professionals as well.

14. The Stündenglass Trademarks are exclusive to GS and appear clearly on GS’s

Stündenglass Products, as well as on the packaging and advertisements related to the products. GS has expended substantial time, money, and other resources in developing, advertising, and otherwise promoting and protecting these Trademarks. As a result, products bearing GS's Stündenglass Trademarks are widely recognized and exclusively associated by consumers, the public, and the trade as being high-quality products sourced from GS.

15. GS's Stündenglass Products have become some of the most popular of their kind in the world and have also been the subject of extensive unsolicited publicity resulting from their high-quality and innovative designs. Because of these and other factors, the GS brand, the Stündenglass brand, and GS's Stündenglass Trademarks are famous throughout the United States.

16. Since 2020, GS has worked to build significant goodwill in the Stündenglass brand in the United States. GS has spent substantial time, money, and effort in developing consumer recognition and awareness of the Stündenglass brand, via point of purchase materials, displays, through their websites, attending industry trade shows, and through social media promotion.

17. In fact, the Stündenglass Products have been praised and recognized by numerous online publications, as well as publications directed to the general public.

18. Due to the high quality of the brand and products, GS has collaborated with numerous celebrities and companies to create collaborations for the Stündenglass products.

19. GS sells its products under the Stündenglass Marks to authorized stores in the United States, including in Texas. GS has approximately 3,000 authorized stores in the United States selling its products. As such, Stündenglass branded products reach a vast array of consumers throughout the country.

20. It is because of the recognized quality and innovation associated with the Stündenglass Marks that consumers are willing to pay higher prices for genuine Stündenglass

products. For example, a Stündenglass brand glass infuser is priced at \$599.95, while a non-Stündenglass branded product is also being sold for up to \$600, with a range of \$199 to \$600.

21. It is exactly because of their higher sales value that Stündenglass branded products are targeted by counterfeiters. These unscrupulous people and entities tarnish the Stündenglass brand by unlawfully selling glass infusers that have identical, or nearly identical, versions of the Stündenglass Marks affixed to products that are made with inferior materials and technology, thereby leading to significant illegitimate profits by ALI SHEIKHANI, such as the Defendants in the instant case.

22. In essence, the Defendants mislead consumers by selling in their stores low grade products that free ride on the goodwill of the Stündenglass brand, and in turn, the Defendants reap substantial ill-begotten profits. The Defendants' offering for sale of counterfeit Stündenglass products contributes to the complete flooding of the marketplace with Stündenglass counterfeit products, which results in lost sales and damages to GS and irreparable harm to the Stündenglass brand's image.

23. Unfortunately, the current U.S. marketplace is saturated with counterfeit Stündenglass products – just like those ALI SHEIKHANI, through his store, VAPE CITY, is offering for sale. As such, GS has been forced to scrupulously enforce its rights in order to protect the Stündenglass Marks against infringement. By exercising its Enforcement Rights, GS has proactively and successfully policed the unauthorized use of the Stündenglass Marks and/or counterfeit Stündenglass branded products nationwide. GS has had to bear great expense to seek out and investigate suspected counterfeiters in their attempt to clean up the marketplace.

Defendants' Offering for Sale Counterfeit Goods

24. The Defendants have, and they continue to, offer for sale counterfeit Stündenglass products with the Stündenglass trademark and without the consent of GS. In fact, they offer counterfeit glass infusers bearing imitations of the Stündenglass Trademarks that were not made or authorized by GS. These are hereinafter the “Counterfeit Goods”.

25. Nevertheless, the Defendants have and continue to offer for sale in commerce the Counterfeit Goods, specifically, the Defendants offer for sale reproductions, counterfeits, copies and/or colorable imitations of one or more of the Stündenglass Marks (hereinafter the “Infringing Marks”), detailed above.

26. The Defendants have, without the consent of GS, continued to offer for sale in their store, the Counterfeit Goods bearing the Infringing Marks, bearing the likeness of the Stündenglass Trademarks in the United States.

27. The marks affixed to the Counterfeit Goods that the Defendants have offered for sale are spurious marks which are identical with, or substantially indistinguishable from, the Stündenglass Trademarks. The marks on the Counterfeit Goods are in fact counterfeit marks as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1116(d).

28. In the ongoing investigation into the sales of counterfeit products bearing the Stündenglass Marks, VAPE CITY offered for sale Counterfeit Goods.

29. Specifically, on April 16, 2023, GS’s investigator attended VAPE CITY’s location, which was open to the public, and observed that it had an excess of Glass Infusers which displayed the Stündenglass Trademarks. The investigator purchased a glass infuser with Stündenglass Marks affixed to it, from VAPE CITY, for a cost of \$248.96, charged to the account of GS’s investigator, and it was a Counterfeit product in that it displayed the Infringing Marks.

30. ALI SHEIKHANI authorized, directed, and/or participated in VAPE CITY’s offer

for sale, in commerce, of the Counterfeit Goods. ALI SHEIKHANI's acts were a moving, active, and conscious force behind VAPE CITY's infringement of the Stündenglass Trademarks.

31. The Defendants' use of the counterfeit Stündenglass Trademarks began after the registration of the Stündenglass Trademarks. Neither GS, nor any of its authorized agents, have consented to the Defendants' use of the Stündenglass Trademarks, or any use of reproductions, counterfeits, copies and/or colorable imitations thereof.

32. The unauthorized offering for sale by VAPE CITY, under the authority, direction and/or participation of ALI SHEIKHANI, of the Counterfeit Goods was an unlawful act in violation of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114 and 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).

33. The offer for sale by the Defendants of the Counterfeit Goods bearing the Infringing Marks has caused GS to suffer losses and is likely to cause damage to the goodwill and reputation associated with the Stündenglass Trademarks, which are owned by GS.

34. VAPE CITY's use of the Stündenglass Marks includes displaying to offer for sale unauthorized copies of Counterfeit Stündenglass branded products. VAPE CITY's offering to sell the Stündenglass counterfeit products, bearing the Infringing Marks in this manner, was, and is, likely to cause confusion or to cause mistake and/or deceive consumers who purchase the Counterfeit Goods.

35. VAPE CITY used images and names identical to or confusingly similar to the Stündenglass Marks, to confuse customers and aid in the promotion and sales of Counterfeit Goods under the Infringing Marks.

36. The Infringing Marks affixed to the Counterfeit Goods that VAPE CITY has distributed, provided, marketed, advertised, promoted, offered for sale, and/or sold, is confusingly identical or similar to the Stündenglass Marks that GS affixes to its Glass Infusers.

37. The Glass Infusers that VAPE CITY sells and offers for sale under the Infringing Marks are made of substantially inferior materials and inferior technology as compared to genuine Stündenglass brand products.

38. VAPE CITY has distributed, provided, marketed, advertised, promoted, offered for sale, and sold its water pipes under the Infringing Mark through its retail convenience store.

39. VAPE CITY has marketed, advertised, and promoted its Counterfeit Goods under the Infringing Marks through point of purchase displays, and/or its website, and/or via social media promotion.

40. VAPE CITY and ALI SHEIKHANI's infringing acts as alleged herein have caused and are likely to cause confusion, mistake, and deception among the relevant consuming public as to the source or origin of the Counterfeit Goods sold by VAPE CITY, and are likely to deceive, and have deceived, the relevant consuming public into mistakenly believing that the Counterfeit Goods sold by VAPE CITY originate from, and are associated or affiliated with, or otherwise authorized by GS.

41. VAPE CITY and ALI SHEIKHANI's acts are willful with the deliberate intent to trade on the goodwill of the Stündenglass Marks, cause confusion and deception in the marketplace, and divert potential sales of the Plaintiff's glass infusers to VAPE CITY.

42. VAPE CITY and ALI SHEIKHANI's acts are causing and, unless restrained, will continue to cause damage and immediate irreparable harm to GS, the Stündenglass Marks, and to its valuable reputation and goodwill with the consuming public for which GS has no adequate remedy at law.

43. As a proximate result of the unfair advantage accruing to ALI SHEIKHANI and VAPE CITY's business from deceptively trading on GS's advertising, sales, and consumer

recognition, ALI SHEIKHANI and VAPE CITY have made and will continue to make substantial profits and gains to which they are not in law or equity entitled.

44. The injuries and damages sustained by GS has been directly and proximately caused by VAPE CITY and ALI SHEIKHANI's wrongful advertisement, promotion, distribution, sale and offers for sale of their goods bearing infringements or counterfeits of the Stündenglass Marks.

45. Through such business activities, ALI SHEIKHANI and VAPE CITY purposefully derived direct benefits from their interstate commerce activities by targeting foreseeable purchasers in the State of Texas, and in doing so, have knowingly harmed GS.

46. Furthermore, the sale and distribution of Counterfeit Goods by VAPE CITY has infringed upon the above-identified federally registered trademarks.

47. The spurious marks or designations used by VAPE CITY in interstate commerce are identical with, or substantially indistinguishable from, the Stündenglass Marks on goods covered by the Stündenglass Marks. Such use therefore creates a false affiliation between VAPE CITY, GS, and the Stündenglass Marks.

48. Due to the actions of ALI SHEIKHANI and VAPE CITY, GS has been forced to retain the undersigned counsel and pay the costs of bringing an action forward. ALI SHEIKHANI and VAPE CITY should be responsible for paying GS's reasonable costs of the action.

49. ALI SHEIKHANI and VAPE CITY's acts have damaged, and will continue to damage GS, and GS has no adequate remedy at law.

50. Moreover, VAPE CITY and ALI SHEIKHANI's wrongful acts will continue unless enjoined by the Court. Accordingly, ALI SHEIKHANI and VAPE CITY must be restrained and enjoined from any further counterfeiting or infringement of the Stündenglass Marks.

Count One
Federal Trademark Counterfeiting and Infringement, 15 U.S.C. § 1114

51. The Plaintiff avers Paragraphs 1 through 50, which are stated above and incorporate the allegations therein, as though they are fully restated in this Count by reference.

52. GS owns the federally registered Stündenglass Trademarks, as set forth in more detail in the foregoing paragraphs.

53. The Defendants, without authorization from GS, have used in commerce a spurious designation that is identical with, or substantially indistinguishable from, the Stündenglass Trademarks on the same goods covered by the Stündenglass Trademarks.

54. The Defendants' unauthorized use of counterfeit marks of the registered Stündenglass Trademarks on and in connection with the Defendants' offer for sale in commerce is likely to cause confusion or mistake in the minds of the public.

55. The Defendants' conduct as alleged herein is willful and intended to cause confusion, mistake, or deception as to the affiliation, connection, or association of the Defendants, with GS or the Stündenglass Trademarks.

56. The Defendants' acts constitute willful trademark infringement in violation of Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114.

57. The Defendants' actions constitute the use by the Defendants of one or more "counterfeit mark(s)" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1116(d)(1)(B).

58. The Defendants' use in commerce of the counterfeit Stündenglass Trademarks has resulted in lost profits and business to GS, which are difficult to determine. The Defendants have also, by selling counterfeit Stündenglass products, caused considerable damage to the goodwill of the Stündenglass Trademarks, and diminished the brand recognition of the Stündenglass Trademarks by introducing counterfeit products into the marketplace.

59. By reason of the foregoing, the Plaintiff is entitled to, among other relief, injunctive relief, an award of statutory damages, and costs of the action under Sections 34 and 35 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1116, 1117, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest.

Count Two
Federal False Designation of Origin and Unfair Competition, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)

60. The Plaintiff avers Paragraphs 1 through 50, which are stated above and incorporate the allegations therein, as though they are fully restated and incorporated in this Count by reference.

61. GS owns the federally registered Stündenglass Trademarks, as set forth in more detail in the foregoing paragraphs.

62. The Defendants, without authorization from GS, have used in commerce spurious designations that are identical with, or substantially indistinguishable from, the Stündenglass Trademarks on the same goods covered by the Stündenglass Trademarks.

63. The Defendants' unauthorized use of counterfeit marks of the registered Stündenglass Trademarks on and in connection with the Defendants' offers for sale in commerce is likely to cause confusion or mistake in the minds of the public.

64. The Defendants' unauthorized use in commerce of the Stündenglass Trademarks as alleged herein constitutes use of a false designation of origin and misleading description and representation of fact in violation of Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).

65. The Defendants' conduct as alleged herein is willful and is intended to, and is likely to, cause confusion, mistake, or deception as to the affiliation, connection, or association of the Defendants, with GS or the Stündenglass Trademarks.

66. The Defendants' conduct as alleged herein is causing immediate and irreparable harm and injury to GS, and to the goodwill and reputation of the Stündenglass Trademarks.

Moreover, it will continue to cause damage to GS and confuse the public unless enjoined by this Court.

67. GS has no adequate remedy at law.

68. By reason of the foregoing, the Plaintiff is entitled to, among other relief, injunctive relief, an award of statutory damages, and costs of the action under Sections 34 and 35 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1116, 1117, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest.

Prayer For Relief

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, GS HOLISTIC, LLC, respectfully requests the following relief against the Defendants, as follows:

1. With regard to Plaintiff's Count I for trademark infringement:
 - a. Disgorgement of profits under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a);
 - b. Treble damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(b);
 - c. Statutory damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(c);
 - d. Costs of suit; and
 - e. Joint and several liability for ALI SHEIKHANI, and other officers, and directors, for the knowing participation in the counterfeiting activities of S&S WIRELESS ZONE INC. .
2. With regard to Plaintiff's Count II for false designation and unfair competition:
 - a. Disgorgement of profits under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a);
 - b. Treble damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(b);
 - c. Statutory damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(c);
 - d. Costs of suit; and
 - e. Joint and several liability for ALI SHEIKHANI, and other officers, and

directors, for the knowing participation in the counterfeiting activities of S&S WIRELESS ZONE INC. .

3. Preliminarily and permanently enjoining S&S WIRELESS ZONE INC. and its agents, employees, officers, directors, owners, representatives, successor companies, related companies, and all persons acting in concert or participation with it from:

- a. The import, export, making, manufacture, reproduction, assembly, use, acquisition, purchase, offer, sale, transfer, brokerage, consignment, distribution, storage, shipment licensing, development, display, delivery, marketing, advertising or promotion of the counterfeit Stündenglass product identified in the Complaint and any other unauthorized Stündenglass product, counterfeit, copy or colorful imitation thereof;

4. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116(a), directing S&S WIRELESS ZONE INC. to file with the Court and serve on the Plaintiff's within thirty (30) days after issuance of an injunction, a report in writing and under oath setting forth in detail the manner and form in which S&S WIRELESS ZONE INC. has complied with the injunction;

5. For an order from the Court requiring that the Defendants provide complete accountings and for equitable relief, including that the Defendants disgorge and return or pay their ill-gotten gains obtained from the illegal transactions entered into and/or pay restitution, including the amount of monies that should have been paid if the Defendants had complied with their legal obligations, or as equity requires;

6. For an order from the Court that an asset freeze or constructive trust be imposed on all monies and profits in the S&S WIRELESS ZONE INC. 's possession, which rightfully belong to the Plaintiff;

7. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1118 requiring that the Defendants and all others acting under the Defendants' authority, at its cost, be required to deliver up to the Plaintiff for destruction all products, accessories, labels, signs, prints, packages, wrappers, receptacles, advertisements, and other material in their possession, custody or control bearing any of the Stündenglass Trademarks.

8. For any other and further relief as the Court may deem just and equitable.

Date: August 6, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Sanjay K. Minocha
Sanjay K. Minocha
Texas Bar # 24065763
Minocha Law Firm PLLC
3300 Oak Lawn Avenue
Suite 700
Dallas, Texas 75219
Serv604@LegalBrains.com
Telephone: 561-232-2222
Attorney for the Plaintiff